

Impacts of Parcelization

- Preclude efficient use of silviculture
- Diminishing economies of scale for timber harvesting/ probability to harvest
- Diminishing biodiversity/increasing likelihood of non-native species/diminishing water quality
- Decreasing likelihood of allowing public access/heightened land use conflicts

Issue Significance



- Average family forest land holding has shrunk
- Increase in the number of family forest owners
- Loss of forestland owned by publicly traded forest products companies
- Changing land use



Other Driving factors

- - Unprecedented shift in ownership
 - Growth in forest land values
 - Changes in population
 - Current policies





International Paper to Sell Most of Its Forestland
The New Hork Times





Assessment of Policy Tools



- Conservation easements
- Tax policy
- Planning and zoning
- Land exchange
- Fee title acquisition
- Other approaches





Selected Findings: Conservation Easements/Land **Exchanges**

- Effectiveness of existing easement programs could be enhanced by increased coordination.
- Effective use of conservation easements is linked to the stewardship of the easement.
- Legislation to expedite public land exchange has had little impact on development of private forest lands.





Selected Findings: Land Use Planning and Zoning

- Most counties have a comprehensive plan, but many are inadequate or counterproductive in encouraging retention of forest land.
- Small to modest incentives have been quite effective in encouraging planning activities in Minnesota.
- Most zoning encourages parcelization





Selected Findings: Property Taxation

- Preferential property tax treatment of forest lands is the preferred vehicle to encourage forest land owners to retain forest lands.
- Most state property tax programs are not very effective at encouraging forest land retention.
- Awareness and the need for a covenant are obstacles for land owners.



Forest Land Retention: Policy Options



- Use the Department of Natural Resources'
 Minnesota Forests for the Future program as a coordinated platform for a coordinated approach to forest land conservation.
- 2) Empower and encourage local governments to use local planning to maintain their forest land base.
- Develop and execute conservation easements in a deliberate, coordinated and sustainable manner.



Forest Land Retention: Policy Options

- 4) Use and build on current state tax policy and incentives to encourage family forest owners to maintain the forest land base.
- 5) Rely on fee simple acquisition and land exchanges for exceptional cases, small parcels and for consolidation or access to public land.
- 6) Provide strong support to the counties to foster their management capabilities in order to encourage forest stewardship and retain county administered forest land.

 Minnesota

Implementation:

Property tax treatment of conservation easements

Approx. 700,000 acres under easement in MN

MN Issues

- Little consistency in practices
- Inadequate statutory guidance
- Difficult to value (few comparable sales, uniqueness of each easement)

Other states

- Recognize easement
- Eligible for preferential tax treatment
- Straight reduction in value
- Income tax credit



Implementation:



- Administrative options
 - Make process more uniform
 - Encourage better communication between landowners and property owners
 - Provide training to assessors
- Valuation options
 - Value lands as current use
 - Regional or state guidelines addressing valuation
 - Use a checklist to determine reduction
 - Create uniform reduction statewide

Forest Health: Invasives

- Complex problem in need of a more strategic and integrated response
- Ownership patterns in MN make it difficult
- Many new/relatively new/or on the horizon
- Still have a chance to stay ahead
- Determining impacts and our abilities
- Starts with past efforts



Forest Health: Invasives

Synthesis of current knowledge, analysis, and response

- Compilation/update of current and potential forest pests, pathogens, and plants
- Synthesis of risk assessments, impact analyses, prioritization
- Synthesis of response
- Analysis of information needs and adequacy of current response
- Development of statewide policy recommendations regarding information needs, needed levels of investment, proper agency roles and responsibilities